

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PHARMACEUTICAL CARE
MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. CIV-19-977-SLP

GLEN MULREADY, in his official capacity
as Insurance Commissioner of
Oklahoma, and

OKLAHOMA INSURANCE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

ANSWER

Defendant Glen Mulready, in his official capacity as the Insurance Commissioner of Oklahoma, submits the following in Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed on October 25, 2019. Defendant denies every factual allegation in the Complaint unless specifically admitted herein, and further answers the Complaint's individual allegations as follows:

1. The allegations set forth in ¶ 1 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied. To extent there are any factual allegations set forth in ¶ 1, those are denied.
2. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 2-4 are admitted.
3. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained in ¶¶ 5-8, and they are therefore denied.

4. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 9-11 are admitted.
5. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 12-16 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.
6. Defendant admits that many Oklahoma residents receive their prescription drug benefits through health plans as alleged in ¶ 17. The remainder of the allegations in ¶ 17 are denied.
7. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained in ¶¶ 18-23, and they are therefore denied.
8. The allegation set forth in ¶ 24 is admitted.
9. The allegation set forth in ¶ 25 is denied.
10. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 26-28 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.
11. The factual allegations set forth in ¶¶ 29-34 are denied. The legal conclusions require no response. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.
12. The allegation set forth in ¶ 35 is admitted.
13. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 36-39 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.
14. The allegation set forth in ¶ 40 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.
15. Defendant incorporates the above responses in response to ¶ 41, which re-

alleges the preceding paragraphs in the Complaint.

16. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 42-44 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.

17. Defendant incorporates the above responses in response to ¶ 45, which re-alleges the preceding paragraphs in the Complaint.

18. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 46-48 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.

19. Defendant incorporates the above responses in response to ¶ 49, which re-alleges the preceding paragraphs in the Complaint.

20. The allegations set forth in ¶¶ 50-52 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is necessary, the allegations are denied.

21. The allegations, legal conclusions, and statements in the section titled “Prayer for Relief,” require no response because they re-allege allegations already answered or state legal conclusions.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

22. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

s/ Randall Yates

RANDALL J. YATES

ZACH WEST

Assistant Solicitors General

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

313 N.E. 21st Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Direct: (405) 522 – 4448

Randall.Yates@oag.ok.gov

Zach.West@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Defendants